

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,741	07/16/2003	Eberhard Wizgall	10739.18.92.1	8983	
22859	7590 09/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET SUITE 4000			OLSON, LARS A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
MINNEAPOL	3, MN 55402		DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
·	10/620,741	WIZGALL, EBERHARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lars A Olson	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC attute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,10-13,20 and 21 is/are rejecte 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-9 and 14-19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/620,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. On line 3 of Claim 21, a deck is claimed that is "located I the hull". It is unclear to the examiner where the applicant is intending to claim the location of said deck with respect to said hull of the claimed watercraft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 10, 11, 13, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gokan (US 6,746,288).

Art Unit: 3617

Gokan discloses the same watercraft as claimed, as shown in Figures 1-5, that is comprised of a hull, defined as Part #14, a deck, defined as Part #15, a propulsion device in the form of an impeller, defined as Part #34, that is carried by said hull for propelling said watercraft, as shown in Figure 1, an engine, defined as Part #20, that is positioned within said hull, as shown in Figures 1-4, said engine driving said propulsion device, and a heat exchanger mounting bracket or holding fixture, defined as Part #26 or 27, with one or more reinforcing flanges, said mounting bracket being coupled to said engine, as shown in Figure 4, and having a seat section that is configured to accommodate a complementary shaped exterior surface of a heat exchanger, defined as Part #50, where said seat section is located on a side of said engine, as shown in Figure 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gokan.

Gokan, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of a heat exchanger mounting bracket with a seat section that is C-shaped.

The use of a mounting bracket with a C-shaped mounting seat for a heat exchanger would be considered by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a design choice based upon the exterior surface shape of the heat exchanger to be mounted.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a mounting bracket with a C-shaped mounting seat in combination with the watercraft as disclosed by Gokan for the purpose of providing a mounting bracket for a heat exchanger on a watercraft, where said mounting bracket has a mounting seat that accommodates a complementary shape of an exterior surface of said heat exchanger:

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-9 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brown (US 6,675,921) discloses a vehicle radiator support structure that includes a radiator, a pair of mounting brackets, and a pair of vibration isolators. Nozaki (US 6,438,949) discloses an exhaust pipe structure with a pair of straps for attaching a heat shielding panel to said structure. Ko (US 6,349,928) discloses a vibroisolating device for absorbing vibrations of a radiator mounted to a

Application/Control Number: 10/620,741

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

vehicle. Harris (US 5,685,364) discloses a mounting bracket with a C-shaped mounting seat for attachment to a radiator. Hiramoto (US 4,579,184) discloses a resiliently mounted radiator assembly for a vehicle.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

lo

September 21, 2004

LARS A. OLSON PATENT EXAMINER

9/21/04